National Institutes of Health

Contractor Performance Report Standard Evaluation

Contract Data

Evaluation Type: Interim Final (check of	one)		
Evaluating Organization:	Reporting Period: From	100000	
Contracting Office:	Reporting Period: From to Contract Number:		Order Number:
Contractor Name:	Contracto	r Address:	
DUNS:	City:		State:
Additional or Alternate Contractor Name:	Zip/Postal	Code:	Country:
TIN: Industrial Code (NAICS):	Commodity	ode:	Contract Type:
industrial Code (IVAICO).	T Commounty C	Joue.	Contract Type.
Contract Award Date: Contract Expiration Date:			ntract Value:
Requirement Description:			
Summarize contractor performance and che (See attached Rating Guidelines). Quality of Product or Service	ck the number which corres	ponds to the ra	ting for each rating category
_0=Unsatisfactory 1=Poor 2=F	air 3=Good 4 -	Excellent	5=Outstanding
Government Comments for Quality of Produ Cost Control (Rating and Comments for Cos	,	·	Fixed-Price)
_0=Unsatisfactory _1=Poor 2=F	air 3=Good 4=	Excellent	5=Outstanding
Government Comments for Cost Control (20			
	oo sharastoro maximum).		
Timeliness of Performance0=Unsatisfactory1=Poor 2=Fa	,	-Excellent	5=Outstanding

Business Relations

_0=Unsatisfactory _1=Poor _2=Fair _3=Good _4=Excellent _5=Outstanding

Government Comments for Business Relations (2000 characters maximum):

Additional Info

Su	bco	ntra	cts	
----	-----	------	-----	--

Are subcontracts involved? __Yes __No (Check one)
Government Comment on subcontracts (2000 characters maximum):

Contractor Key Personnel

Contractor Manager/Principal Investigator (name):
Government Comment on Contractor Manager/Principal Investigator (2000 characters maximum):

Contractor Key Person (name):

Government Comment on Contractor Key Person (2000 characters maximum):

Contractor Key Person (name):

Government Comment on Contractor Key Person (2000 characters maximum):

Small Business Subcontracting Plan

Did the contractor make a good faith effort to comply with its subcontracting plan consistent with the goals and objectives, reporting and other aspects of the plan? _Yes _No _N/A (Check one)

If this is a _Yes		contract, did the contractor meet the goals _N/A (Check one)	and obje	ectives for small business participation?
Governme	ent Comr	nents on Small Business Subcontracting Pl	an (2000	characters maximum):
Small Di	sadvan	taged Business Goals		
Did the co objectives notificatio	, for sma	make a good faith effort to comply with its all disadvantaged business (SDB) participat _Yes _No _N/A (<i>Check one)</i>	subcontra ion, mon	acting plan consistent with the goals and etary targets for SDB participation, and required
Governme	nt Comr	nents on Small Disadvantaged Business Go	oals (2000	characters maximum):
Custome	er Satis	faction		
ls/was the	contrac	tor committed to customer satisfaction?	_Yes	_No (Check one)
Would you	ı recomr	nend the selection of this firm again?	_Yes	_No (Check one) - FINAL REPORT ONLY
Governme	nt Comr	nents on Customer Satisfaction (2000 chara	cters ma	ximum):

Admin Info

Project Officer/COTR
Name:
Phone:
Fax:
E-mail Address:
Contractor Representative
Name:
Phone:
Fax:
E-mail Address:
Alternate Contractor Representative (<i>Required to insure that at least one person is notified of evaluation</i>) Name:
Phone:
Fax:
E-mail Address:
Contracting Officer:
Name:
Phone:
Fax:
E-mail Address:

Contractor Comments

Quality of Product of Service
Contractor has elected not to comment
Contractor Comments for Quality of Product of Service (2000 characters maximum):
Cost Control
Contractor has elected not to comment
Contractor Comments for Quality of Product of Service (2000 characters maximum):
Timeliness of Performance
Contractor has elected not to comment
Contractor Comments for Timeliness of Performance (2000 characters maximum):
Dunimana Dalatia aa
Business Relations
Contractor has elected not to comment
Contractor Comments for Business Relations (2000 characters maximum):
Overall Comment
Contractor has elected not to comment
Contractor Comments for Quality of Product of Service (2000 characters maximum):

Rating Guidelines

Quality of Product or Service

0 = Unsatisfactory 1 = Poor 2 = Fair 3 = Good 4 = Excellent 5 = Outstanding

Unsatisfactory Non-conformances are jeopardizing the achievement of contract requirements, despite use of Agency resources.

Recovery is not likely. If performance cannot be substantially corrected, it constitutes a significant impediment in

consideration for future awards containing similar requirements.

Poor Overall compliance requires significant Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements.

Fair Overall compliance requires minor Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements.

Good There are no, or very minimal, quality problems, and the Contractor has met the contract requirements.

Excellent There are no quality issues, and the Contractor has substantially exceeded the contract performance requirements

without commensurate additional costs to the Government.

Outstanding The contractor has demonstrated an outstanding performance level that was significantly in excess of anticipated

achievements and is commendable as an example for others, so that it justifies adding a point to the score. It is expected that this rating will be used in those rare circumstances where contractor performance clearly exceeds the

performance levels described as "Excellent".

Cost Control

0 = Unsatisfactory 1 = Poor 2 = Fair 3 = Good 4 = Excellent 5 = Outstanding

Unsatisfactory Ability to manage cost issues is jeopardizing performance of contract requirements, despite use of Agency resources.

Recovery is not likely. If performance cannot be substantially corrected, this level of ability to manage cost issues

constitutes a significant impediment in consideration for future awards.

Poor Ability to manage cost issues requires significant Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements.

Fair Ability to control cost issues requires minor Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements.

Good There are no, or very minimal, cost management issues and the Contractor has met the contract requirements.

Excellent There are no cost management issues and the Contractor has exceeded the contract requirements, achieving cost

savings to the Government.

Outstanding The contractor has demonstrated an outstanding performance level that justifies adding a point to the score. It is

expected that this rating will be used in those rare circumstances where the contractor achieved cost savings and

performance clearly exceeds the performance levels described as "Excellent".

Timeliness of Performance

0 = Unsatisfactory 1 = Poor 2 = Fair 3 = Good 4 = Excellent 5 = Outstanding

Unsatisfactory Delays are jeopardizing the achievement of contract requirements, despite use of Agency resources. Recovery is not

likely. If performance cannot be substantially corrected, it constitutes a significant impediment in consideration for future

awards.

Poor Delays require significant Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements.

Fair Delays require minor Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements.

Good There are no, or minimal, delays that impact achievement of contract requirements.

Excellent There are no delays and the contractor has exceeded the agreed upon time schedule.

Outstanding The contractor has demonstrated an outstanding performance level that justifies adding a point to the score. It is

expected that this rating will be used in those rare circumstances where contractor performance clearly exceeds the

performance levels described as "Excellent".

Business Relations

0 = Unsatisfactory 1 = Poor 2 = Fair 3 = Good 4 = Excellent 5 = Outstanding

Unsatisfactory Response to inquiries and/or technical, service, administrative issues is not effective. If not substantially mitigated or

corrected it should constitute a significant impediment in considerations for future awards.

Poor Response to inquiries and/or technical, service, administrative issues is marginally effective.

Fair Response to inquiries and/or technical, service, administrative issues is somewhat effective.

Good Response to inquiries and/or technical, service, administrative issues is consistently effective.

Excellent Response to inquiries and/or technical, service, administrative issues exceeds Government expectation.

Outstanding The contractor has demonstrated an outstanding performance level that justifies adding a point to the score. It is

expected that this rating will be used in those rare circumstances where contractor performance clearly exceeds the

performance levels described as "Excellent".